



Pr
30/10/07

35

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI JAGDISH BHALLA, Ag. C.J.

Writ Petition (C) No.6452/2007

Petitioner

: Hari Charan Jwala, son of Shri Shiv Dayal aged about 50 years, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Raseda, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Collector, Distt. Janjgir - Champa (C.G.)
3. The Sub Divisional Officer (Rev.), Pargarh, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
4. Raj Kapoor, aged about 42 years, son of Shyam Sunder, Upsarpanch, R/o. village Rasota, Tah. Pargarh, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
5. Chain Das, aged about 35 years, son of Pukalu Das, Panch.
6. Phool Singh, aged about 28 years, son of shri ramsamund, Panch.
7. Kishore Singh, aged about 28 years, son of Prahlad Singh, Panch.
8. Chhote Lal Panch, aged about 36 years, son of Dwarika Prasad, Panch.
9. Kashi Ram aged about 27 years, Panch, son of Shri Chandrabali, Panch.
10. Santram, aged about 27 years, son of Shri Mitthu Lal, Panch.
11. Rajeshwar aged about 28 years, son of Shri Govind Ram, Panch.
12. Loknath aged about 41 years, son of Shri Samai Lal, Panch.
13. Pardeshi Panch, aged about 34 years, son of Shri Mohar Sai.
14. Godawari Bai, Panch, aged about 30 years, wife of Shri Sukhram.
15. Pramila Panch, aged about 29 years, wife of nakool.
16. Manki Bai, aged about 45 years, wife of Shri Tijram, panch.
17. Chandrika Bai, Panch, aged about 36 years, wife of Shivkumar.



26

18. Bhagwati Bai, aged about 55 years, wife of Shri Tameshwar, Panch.
 19. Usha Kiran, Panch, aged about 40 years, wife of Mahabeer.
- Respondents No.5 to 19 are the resident of village Panchayat Rasota, P.S. and Tah. Pangarh, distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

Writ Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India

Present: Shri Shailendra Dubey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Yashwant Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 25th October, 2007)

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press this writ petition with liberty to file this petition. Since alternative remedy is available to the petitioner, he is at liberty to avail the same.

With this observation, writ petition is dismissed as not pressed with liberty as aforesaid.

Sd/-
Acting Chief Justice

K-